

- Pupil Premium -Action Plan - 2019/2020 TARGET SETTING

Table of Contents -

Summary Information	3
Current Attainment (2018/2019) -	4
IMPACT - OVER TIME - READING -	5
IMPACT - OVER TIME - WRITING -	6
IMPACT - OVER TIME - MATHS -	7
Barriers to Future Attainment	9
Desired Outcomes	10
Planned Expenditure (2019/2020)	11
IMPACT Attainment (2019/2020)	17



"We aim to raise the attainment of disadvantaged children through high quality teaching and by meeting individual needs"

L. Summary Information			
School:	The Prince of Wales School		
Academic Year:	2019/2020		
Total Number of Pupils:	158		
Percentage Eligible:	18 (11.39%)		
Store in Cueles			
Stage in Cycle:	AUTUMN TERM 2019	SPRING TERM 2020	SUMMER TERM 2020
	TARGET SETTING	MID-YEAR REVIEW	END OF YEAR REVIEW
Summary of Funding:		Pupil Premium FSM (+EVER 6) (£1,320):	X 12 Children = £15,840
		Post LAC (£2,300):	X 1 Child = £2,300
		Service (£300):	X 3 Children = £900

TOTAL = £19,040

2. Current Attainment (2018/2019) -			
	PP	Non-PP	Difference
% achieving GLD in EYFS July 2018 (PP = 2 Pupils of 30)	50%	86%	-36% <mark>~</mark>
% achieving ARE in Y1 Phonics (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	100%	93%	+7%
% achieving ARE in KS1 Reading (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	33%	93%	-60% <mark>^</mark>
% achieving GD in KS1 Reading (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	0%	30%	-30% <mark>^</mark>
% achieving ARE in KS1 Writing (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	33%	90%	-57% <mark>^</mark>
% achieving GD in KS1 Writing (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	0%	13%	-13% <mark>^</mark>
% achieving ARE in KS1 Maths (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	0%	83%	-83% <mark>^</mark>
% achieving GD in KS1 Maths (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	0%	23%	-23% <mark>^</mark>

~ NOTE BELOW # 1 - ONE OF THE TWO CHILDREN HAD AN EHCP FOR COMPLEX NEEDS

ant Attainment (2019/2010)

^ NOTE BELOW # 2 - ONE OF THE THREE CHILDREN HAD AN EHCP FOR COMPLEX NEEDS

The Prince of Wales School - Pupil Premium Action Plan - 2019/2020 - TARGET SETTING

3. IMPACT - OVER TIME - READING -

Disadvantaged Gap Trend: The Prince of Wales School

Reading Expected Standard 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% 2016 2017 2018 2016-2018 2016 2017 2018 2016-2018 2016 2017 2018 2016-2018 School Dorset National School Dorset National 2016 2017 2018 2016-18 2016 2017 2018 2016-18 2016 2017 2018 2016-18 **Disadv** Count 5 8 2 1 Disadv 100% 58% 62% 50% 80% 75% 53% 60% 63% 62% Other 86% 74% 77% 79% 76% 78% 78% 77% 78% 79% Gap -36% +6% +23% -4% -23% -18% -16% -19% -16% -17%


4. IMPACT - OVER TIME - WRITING -

Disadvantaged Gap Trend: The Prince of Wales School



5. IMPACT - OVER TIME - MATHS -


Disadvantaged Gap Trend: The Prince of Wales School



A CHANGE IN APPROACH -

Following the Headteacher's attendance of the **2018 Dorset Pupil Premium Conference**, the Senior Leadership Team have reflected considerably on their approach to the spending of Pupil Premium Funding.

At the conference, Sir John Dunford (Formally National Pupil Premium Champion) advocated for the importance of 'relentlessly focusing on the quality of Teaching and Learning'. Sir John made reference to the Sutton Trust (2011) research -



Source: Sutton Trust (2011)

This has challenged our thinking and has helped shape our approach to Pupil Premium expenditure.

6. Barr	iers to Future Attainment
Α	Increase % children meeting (and exceeding) ARE in KS1 Outcomes
В	Increase % children working at a Greater Depth in Maths
С	Children to re-discover the love of Reading

7. Desired Outcomes



Increase % children meeting (and exceeding) ARE in KS1 Outcomes

Plans To Address -

- Increase Expectation + Increase Challenge of Year 2 Curriculum for ALL CHILDREN.
- Launch 'Power Maths' scheme of work and purchase supporting resource
- Embed SPAG Scheme (Ruth Miskin Spelling Scheme)
- Continue programme of external moderation and challenge.
- Embed new approach to Marking and Feedback

Increase % children working at a Greater Depth in Maths

Plans To Address -

B

С

- Launch 'Power Maths' scheme of work and purchase supporting resource
- Engagement with the DASP Maths Project 'Maths Mastery'
- Embed new approach to Marking and Feedback

Children to re-discover the love of Reading

Plans To Address -

- Embed STAR Reading Assessment and Accelerated Reading (AR) Scheme - use of dedicated TA time to support this (new for September 2019)

8. Planned Expendi	ture (2019/202	0)			
EXPENDITURE	£	SUMMARY / INTENDED OUTCOME / MONITORING	IMPACT (N	/A - AUTUMN TERM - TARGI	ET SETTING)
The whole-class mastery approach that works for every child Purchase and implement 'Power Maths' Scheme of Work, Training and Supporting Resources A B	£4,500 + Maths Hub Matched Funding	 SUMMARY - Introduce 'Power Maths' Scheme INTENDED OUTCOME - Children to master 'Maths Mastery'. Over time impact of Power Maths to show accelerated progress for all learners including % of PP children achieving Greater Depth in Y2 Maths to increase by 15%. How will success be measured? Through analysis of half-termly data reports and external visits. Use of Pupil Voice. Who will measure success? Headteacher / Committee B. Maths Link Governors. When will success be measured? Half-Termly. 	Recommend	ed To Continue? YES - With Changes	NO

N.B - Why Power Maths?

We chose to adopt 'Power Maths' following our 2018/2019 involvement with the Maths Hubs Teaching for Mastery Programme. This programme made us eligible to claim textbook match-funding.

The scheme suited our setting because it is a whole-class mastery programme designed to spark curiosity and excitement (key ingredients for success at The Prince of Wales School). We believe Power Maths will nurture confidence in maths for all our learners.

At the heart of Power Maths is the belief that <u>all children</u> can achieve. The programme is built around a child-centred lesson design that models and embeds a growth mindset approach to maths.

We also chose to adopt 'Power Maths' as we feel it best reflects the research informed guidance outlined by the EEF in 'Improving Mathematics in Key Stage Two and Three: Guidance Report' (2017) →



IMPROVING MATHEMATICS IN KEY STAGES TWO AND THREE Guidance Report

EXPENDITURE	£	SUMMARY / INTENDED OUTCOME / MONITORING	IMPACT (N/A - AUTUMN TERM - TARGET SETTING)
Embed STAR Reading Assessment and Accelerated Reading (AR)* Scheme, AC	£5,000	 SUMMARY - Embed STAR Reading Assessment and Accelerated Reading (AR) Scheme. INTENDED OUTCOME - Children to re-discover the love of reading. Over time Accelerated Reader STAR Reading Tests show accelerated progress for all learners including % of PP children achieving ARE in Y2 Reading to increase by 15%. How will success be measured? Through analysis of half-termly data reports and external visits. Use of Pupil Voice. Who will measure success? Headteacher / Committee A. Literacy Link Governors. When will success be measured? Half-Termly. 	Recommended To Continue? YES YES - With Changes

* See EEF efficacy trial of Accelerated Reader below -



EEF Projects

ated

Accelerated Reader

This page covers the first (efficacy) trial of Accelerated Reader, which tested whether it could work in schools under best possible conditions. To read about the second (effectiveness) trial - testing a scalable model under everyday conditions in a large number of schools - click here. Accelerated Reader (AR) is a reading management and monitoring programme that aims to foster independent reading. The internet-based software assesses reading age, and suggests books that match pupils' needs and interests. Pupils take computerised quizzes on the books and earn AR points as they progress.

Broadgreen High School, Thornaby Academy,Tideway Independent Evaluator Durham University Pupils Schools Grant 349 4 £147,000 Themes BBANOUT Language and Ilteracy

ę

33333

English



EEF Summary

this evaluation to see if it could have an impact in English secondary schools. This is one of a number of small trials developed by EEF and designed to improve outcomes for struggling readers at the transition from primary to secondary school. Accelerated Reader is widely used in England, but much of the evidence for the approach comes from the US. The EEF funded

The study found that Year 7 pupils who were offered Accelerated Reader made 3 months' additional progress in reading compared to other similar pupils. For pupils eligible for free school meals the figure was 5 months' additional progress weaker readers, the approach appears to contribute towards catch-up at the start of secondary school, although pupils at very low levels of reading may need initial support from teachers to benefit, if they are not independent readers. For

Based on the promising findings from this small study, EEF has now funded an effectiveness trial, to see if the results can be replicated for a larger number of schools.

For more information, tools & supporting resources, please visit: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/

Copyright © 2018 Education Endowment Foundation

Education Endowment Foundation

EEF Projects elerated Reader 16th March, 2018

Research Results

	Accelerated Reader pupils and other pupils	
Reading	Months' Progress	
Reading (FSM)	65 Months' Progress	N/A
Were the schools in the trial similar to my school?	ir to my school?	
The project involved four urban, mixed g school was rated as "good".	nder, secondary schools. Three of them were rated I	The project involved four urban, mixed gender, secondary schools. Three of them were rated by OFSTED as "requiring improvement", while the final school was rated as "good".
und 35% of the pupils in the project s	Around 35% of the pupils in the project schools were eligible for free school meals.	
Could I implement this in my school?	012	
Accelerated Reader is available from Renaissance Learning.	aissance Learning.	
the intervention tested here, 15 staff	For the intervention tested here, 15 staff (teachers, TAs and literacy co-ordinators) from each school attended one day of training.	school attended one day of training.
Accelerated Reader requires space in the library.	timetable for independent reading and schools may	Accelerated Reader requires space in the timetable for independent reading and schools may also need to increase the number of books in the school library.
G delivered by Teachers	a participant group	intervention length
How much will it cost?		
celerated Reader costs £450 per year imum subscription is for 50 pupils), c	Accelerated Reader costs £450 per year for 50 pupils, or 59 per pupil per year. This covers the annual licence needed for es minimum subscription is for 50 pupils), one day of teacher training and year-long access to a free hotline telephone service.	Accelerated Reader costs £450 per year for 50 pupils, or £9 per pupil per year. This covers the annual licence needed for each participating pupil (the minimum subscription is for 50 pupils), one day of teacher training and year-long access to a free hotline telephone service.
2 Cost per pupil	Training time per staff member	
Schools 4	Pupils 349	Key Stage 3
Start date	End date	Type of trial
January 2012	January 2015	Efficacy Trial
nuary 2012	January 2015	Eff

Evaluation Conclusions

1. Accelerated Reader appears to be effective for weaker readers as a catch-up intervention at the start of secondary school.

For more information, tools & supporting resources, please visit: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/

Copyright © 2018 Education Endowment Foundation



EEF Projects

- A well-stocked library with a wide collection of books banded according to the Accelerated Reader readability formula, and easy access to computers with internet connection, are the main requirements for successful implementation. N
 - Pupils at very low levels of reading may not be independent readers and would need initial support from teacher to start reading books. ė.
 - Schools can lead robust evaluations of their own planned interventions, under favourable circumstances, and with some advice and oversight from expert evaluators. 4.

Copyright © 2018 Education Endowment Foundation For more information, tools & supporting resources, please visit: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/

EXPENDITURE	£	SUMMARY / INTENDED OUTCOME / MONITORING	IMPACT (N/A - AUTUMN TERM - TARGET SETTING)
ELSA Intervention A 1:1 Teaching A B	£2,000 £1,500	 SUMMARY - One thirty minute session, per child, per week. INTENDED OUTCOME - Improvement ability to understand and regulate emotions. MONITORING - Baseline questionnaire. Review questionnaire at the end of the intervention. SEND Leader will review in discussion with ELSA as part of her monitoring of interventions. SUMMARY - 1:1 teaching before school, twice a week. INTENDED OUTCOME - Improved confidence and attainment in Reading, Writing and Spelling. MONITORING - SEND Leader will review in discussion with intervention leader as part of her monitoring of interventions. 	Recommended To Continue? YES YES - With Changes NO Recommended To Continue? YES YES - With Changes NO
Free School Meals (Year 3 and Year 4) SEMH	£3,000	SUMMARY - Provision of hot school meals. INTENDED OUTCOME - Pupil Premium children accessing free school meals every day. MONITORING - Lunchtime supervisors, office and SLT.	Recommended To Continue? YES YES - With Changes

EXPENDITURE	£	SUMMARY / INTENDED OUTCOME / MONITORING	IMPACT (N/A	- AUTUMN TERM - TARGET S	ETTING)	
Phonics Teaching (Read, Write Inc)	£1,000 Contribution (Training and Resources)	 SUMMARY - Entirely new approach to teaching phonics (using Read, Write Inc Resources) supported by two externally led training days. INTENDED OUTCOME - Improved attainment in phonic understanding. MONITORING - Literacy Leader will review in discussion with intervention leader 	Recommended	Recommended To Continue?		
	,	as part of her monitoring of Read, Write Inc. External scrutiny also provided by Read, Write Inc expert trainer.	YES YES - With Changes NO			
ICT Equipment, following external ICT Assessment	£2,039	 SUMMARY - Provide appropriate equipment for pupils to access and record his learning effectively. INTENDED OUTCOME - Access to appropriate specialist equipment that the school is currently unable to provide. MONITORING - SEND Leader will monitor success of equipment provided in 	Recommende	d To Continue?		
SEMH		discussion with class teacher and 1:1 TA as part of termly monitoring.	YES	YES - With Changes	NO	

9. IMPACT Attainment (2019/2020)

2018/2019			VS	2	019/202	20			
	PP	Non-PP	Difference			РР	Non-PP	Difference	17-18 GAP
% achieving GLD in EYFS July 2018 (PP = 2 Pupils of 30)	50%	86%	-36% <mark>~</mark>		% achieving GLD in EYFS July 2018 (PP = 2 Pupils of 31)				
% achieving ARE in Y1 Phonics (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	100%	93%	+7%		% achieving ARE in Y1 Phonics (PP = 3 Pupils of 30)				
% achieving ARE in KS1 Reading (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	33%	93%	-60% <mark>^</mark>		% achieving ARE in KS1 Reading (PP = 3 Pupils of 33)				
% achieving GD in KS1 Reading (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	0%	30%	-30% <mark>^</mark>		% achieving GD in KS1 Reading (PP = 3 Pupils of 33)				
% achieving ARE in KS1 Writing (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	33%	90%	-57% <mark>^</mark>		% achieving ARE in KS1 Writing (PP = 3 Pupils of 33)				
% achieving GD in KS1 Writing (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	0%	13%	-13% <mark>^</mark>		% achieving GD in KS1 Writing (PP = 3 Pupils of 33)				
% achieving ARE in KS1 Maths (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	0%	83%	-83% <mark>^</mark>		% achieving ARE in KS1 Maths (PP = 3 Pupils of 33)				
% achieving GD in KS1 Maths (PP = 4 Pupils of 32)	0%	23%	-23% <mark>^</mark>		% achieving GD in KS1 Maths (PP = 3 Pupils of 33)				

NOTE BELOW # 1 - ONE OF THE TWO CHILDREN HAD AN EHCP FOR COMPLEX NEEDS NOTE BELOW # 2 - ONE OF THE THREE CHILDREN HAD AN EHCP FOR COMPLEX NEEDS